UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,019	11/14/2003	Chantal Jubinville	20339.12	1966	
	7590 04/16/2007 DCKHOP, ESQ.		EXAMINER		
2375 MOSSY BRANCH DR.			RENDON, CHRISTIAN E		
SNELLVILLE,	GA 30078		20339.12 1966 EXAMINER RENDON, CHRISTIAN E	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO?	PHTM	04/16/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				-e		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/714,019	JUBINVILLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christian E. Rendón	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	November 2003.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			*		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lan alaatian maniinamant				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• " '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
~ `	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not f	eceived.			
Attachmer	at(s)	_	•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/22/04</u> .	6)	- ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/714,019

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 8, 15, 24, 33 and 42 are objected to because of the following informalities: first subset containing at least one and less than a predetermined maximum number. From the way it is stated, it is impossible for the subset to ever contain more then one number. Therefore the Office believes that the applicant meant to say 'at least one or less than' to imply the player has the freedom to choose one or more numbers up to the maximum number. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. (US 6,830,514 B2) in view of DeFrees-Parrott et al. (US 6,913,534 B2).

2. Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 22-24, 33, 42, and 51-52, Meyer discloses a lottery game with a predetermined maximum number of unique game indicia (Meyer: col. 6, lines 40-43). The player starts off by deciding how many drawings or subsets they wish to play on one ticket (Meyer: col.4, lines 13-15; Fig 1, 12). This decision determines how many numbers or subsets the player will choose per column (Meyer: Fig. 1, 19A-C). Each column is considered to contain game indicia that are unique since they are non-repeating numbers (Meyer: col. 9, lines 29-30). Therefore each subset will contain unique game indicia that are not contained in the previous

Application/Control Number: 10/714,019

Art Unit: 3714

subset once chosen and the number of columns represents the number of game indicia in a subset. A gaming administrator, a computer controlled random number generator (Meyer: col. 8, lines 27-30) generates a drawing subset that is transmitted for viewing on electronic displays or terminals at more then one location (Meyer: col. 9, lines 56-57) for the player to compare to their chosen numbers. If the first drawing results in a win, a player can either collect their winnings or wait until the other drawing numbers are revealed (Meyer: col. 10, lines 15-18) since future wins will result in increasing the payout amount (Meyer: col. 10, lines 43-44).

- 3. Meyer discloses a host computer that can compare the 'winning numbers' with a player's choices and connected to a network of terminals but fails to mention any of the features of the terminals except for receiving and displaying the 'winning numbers' of the current drawing.

 DeFrees-Parrott discloses a slot machine or terminal connected to a host computer through a network. When a player activates the lottery game (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 8, line 63), the player is given the chance to use the interface to input their lottery numbers (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 9, line 1). The host computer decides or randomly picks the 'winning numbers' (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 5, lines 65-66), which are compared with the player's numbers by the terminals (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 12, lines 1-3).
- 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Meyer's lottery game with DeFrees-Parrott's game system as an effective system for offering the game. Meyer offers gaming administrators the freedom to customize variables like draw frequency and time period of those drawings (Meyer: col. 9, lines 8-9). Therefore Meyer's lottery game is suitable for the system disclosed by DeFrees-Parrott since it is able to offer any type of lottery game (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 3, lines 58-62). DeFrees-Parrott's system is more centralized and powerful then the one disclosed by Meyer since it offers more functional terminals that can

Application/Control Number: 10/714,019

Art Unit: 3714

creates lottery tickets without losing the importance of the administrative computer who still decides the 'winning numbers.' Therefore one skilled in the art would create this art combination as an upgrade to the one already disclosed by Meyer for a more centralized system by reducing the number of host computer locations offering tickets now that the terminals can offer them.

- 5. Regarding claims 2, 9, 16, 25, 34 and 43, Meyer discloses a "Quick Pick" option that allows the game administrator to automatically choose the number of drawings and game indicia (Meyer: col. 6, line 67; Fig. 1, 25).
- 6. Regarding claims 3, 10, 17, 26, 35 and 44, DeFrees-Parrott discloses that the terminals are able to accept a player's lottery number through the interface (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 9, line 1). Meyer discloses offering a player a choice of the number of game indicia they will select.
- 7. Regarding claims 7, 14, 21, 32, 41 and 50, DeFrees-Parrott discloses that a player has to fulfill certain predetermined conditions in order to play a progressive jackpot lottery game (DeFrees-Parrott: col. 13, line 5). Meyer discloses a lottery game that increases the prize amount each time a player plays and wins consecutively (Meyer: Fig. 5) therefore the system is progressive.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, 11, 18, 27, 36 and 45, there is no a restriction on the size of the play matrix (Meyer: col. 4, lines 49-52) as disclosed by Meyer.
- 9. Regarding claims 5-6, 12-13, 19-20, 28-29, 37-38 and 46-47, Meyer discloses that the prize amount increase as a player continues to win one drawing or subset after another (Meyer: col. 10, lines 43-44). Therefore it is possible for a player to achieve a total prize winning that is equal to the combined winnings of the first and second subset, and this total will always be greater than the winnings of just the first and second subset.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Application/Control Number: 10/714,019

10. Regarding claims 30-31, 39-40 and 48-49, the system disclosed by Meyer is able to determine which first prize, if any, the player will receive. This decision is based on how many game indicia were played (Meyer: Fig. 5); therefore a player can only win the larger of the first prizes when they play only with two game indicia (Meyer: col. 6, line 53).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian E. Rendón whose telephone number is 571-272-3117. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian E Rendón Examiner Art Unit 3714

CER

Ronald Janeau Privary Examiner 4/12/07